192.127 Seminar in Software Engineering (Smart Contracts) SWC-124: Write to Arbitrary Storage Location

*** YOUR NAME AND STUDENT ID ***

WT 2023/24

1 Weakness and consequences

1.1 Solidity storage layout

Any contract's storage is a continuous 256-bit address space consisting of 32-bit values. In order to implement dynamically sized data structures like maps and arrays, Solidity distributes their entries in a pseudo-random location. Due to the vast 256-bit range of addresses collisions are statistically extremely improbable and of no practical relevance.

In the case of a dynamic array at variable slot p, data is written to continuous locations starting at keccak(p). The array itself contains the length information.

For maps stored in variable slot p the data for index k can be found at keccak(k.p) where . is the concatenation operator.

1.2 The Weakness

Any unchecked array write is potentially dangerous, as the storage-location of all variables is publicly known and an unconstrained array index can be reverse engineered to target them.

Algorithm 1: A completely unchecked array write

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
2
    contract MyContract {
3
      address private owner;
4
      uint[] private arr;
5
6
      constructor() public {
7
8
        arr = new uint[](0);
         owner = msg.sender;
9
      7
12
       function write(unit index, uint value) {
        arr[index] = value;
13
      }
14
15
    }
```

In the following example the *pop* function incorrectly checks for an array $length \ge 0$, thereby allowing the value to underflow when called with an empty array. Once this weakness is exploited *update* in Algorithm 2 behaves just like *write* did in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 2: An incorrectly managed array length

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
2
       contract MyContract {
3
         address private owner;
4
         uint[] private arr;
5
6
         constructor() public {
7
8
           arr = new uint[](0);
           owner = msg.sender;
9
         }
         function push(value) {
           arr[arr.length] = value;
           arr.length++;
14
         }
         function pop() {
17
           require(arr.length >= 0);
18
           arr.length--;
         }
20
21
         function update(unit index, uint value) {
           require(index < arr.length);</pre>
23
           arr[index] = value;
24
25
         }
       }
26
27
```

2 Vulnerable contracts in literature

collect vulnerable contracts used by different papers to motivate/illustrate the weakness

3 Code properties and automatic detection

summarize the code properties that tools are looking for so that they can detect the weakness

4 Exploit sketch

sketch ways to potentially exploit the different variants of the weakness. [4] [3] [2] [1]

References

- Jaeseung Choi, Doyeon Kim, Soomin Kim, Gustavo Grieco, Alex Groce, and Sang Kil Cha. Smartian: Enhancing smart contract fuzzing with static and dynamic data-flow analyses. In 2021 36th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE), pages 227–239, 2021.
- Johannes Krupp and Christian Rossow. teEther: Gnawing at ethereum to automatically exploit smart contracts. In 27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18), pages 1317–1333, Baltimore, MD, August 2018. USENIX Association.
- [3] Siddhasagar Pani, Harshita Vani Nallagonda, Vigneswaran, Raveendra Kumar Medicherla, and Rajan M. Smartfuzzdrivergen: Smart contract fuzzing automation for golang. In Proceedings of the 16th

Innovations in Software Engineering Conference, ISEC '23, New York, NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery.

[4] Petar Tsankov, Andrei Dan, Dana Drachsler-Cohen, Arthur Gervais, Florian Bünzli, and Martin Vechev. Securify: Practical security analysis of smart contracts. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, CCS '18, page 67–82, New York, NY, USA, 2018. Association for Computing Machinery.